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**A B S T R A C T**

Let $D$ be a domain with quotient field $K$ and $A$ a $D$-algebra. A polynomial with coefficients in $K$ that maps every element of $A$ to an element of $A$ is called integer-valued on $A$. For commutative $A$ we also consider integer-valued polynomials in several variables. For an arbitrary domain $D$ and $I$ an arbitrary ideal of $D$ we show $I$-adic continuity of integer-valued polynomials on $A$. For Noetherian one-dimensional $D$, we determine spectrum and Krull dimension of the ring $\text{Int}_D(A)$ of integer-valued polynomials on $A$. We do the same for the ring of polynomials with coefficients in $M_n(K)$, the $K$-algebra of $n \times n$ matrices, that map every matrix in $M_n(D)$ to a matrix in $M_n(D)$.
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1. Introduction

Let $D$ be a domain with quotient field $K$ and $A$ a $D$-algebra, such as, for instance, a group ring $D(G)$ or the matrix algebra $M_n(D)$.

We are interested in the rings of polynomials

$$\text{Int}_D(A) = \{ f \in K[x] \mid f(A) \subseteq A \},$$

and, if $A$ is commutative,
Elements of the \( D \)-algebra \( A \) are plugged into polynomials with coefficients in \( K \) via the canonical homomorphism \( t_A : A \to K \otimes_D A \), \( t_A(a) = 1 \otimes a \).

In the special case \( A = D \) these rings are known as rings of integer-valued polynomials, cf. [3]. They provide natural examples of non-Noetherian Prüfer rings [5,11], and have been used for proving results on the \( n \)-generator property in Prüfer rings [2]. Also, integer-valued polynomials are useful for polynomial interpolation of functions from \( D \) to \( D [8,4] \), and satisfy other interesting algebraic conditions such as analogues of Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz [3,9].

These desirable properties of rings of integer-valued polynomials have motivated the generalization to polynomials with coefficients in \( K \) acting on a \( D \)-algebra \( A \) [10,12]. So far, not much is known about rings of integer-valued polynomials on algebras. We know that they behave somewhat like the classical rings of integer-valued polynomials if the \( D \)-algebra \( A \) is commutative. For instance, Loper and Werner [12] have shown that \( \text{Int}^D(K) \) is Prüfer. If \( A \) is non-commutative, however, the situation is radically different. For instance, \( \text{Int}^D(M_2(\mathbb{Z})) \) is not Prüfer [12], and is far from allowing interpolation [10].

We will describe the spectrum of \( \text{Int}^D(A) \), for a one-dimensional Noetherian ring \( D \) and a finitely generated torsion-free \( D \)-algebra \( A \), in the hope that this will facilitate further research. We will investigate more closely the special case of \( A = M_n(D) \); we determine a polynomially dense subset of \( M_n(D) \) and describe the image of a given matrix under the ring \( \text{Int}^D(M_n(D)) \).

A different ring of integer-valued polynomials on the matrix algebra \( M_n(D) \), consisting of polynomials with coefficients in \( M_n(D) \) that map matrices in \( M_n(D) \) to matrices in \( M_n(D) \), has been introduced by Werner [13]. We will show that it is isomorphic to the algebra of \( n \times n \) matrices over “our” ring \( \text{Int}^D(M_n(D)) \) of integer-valued polynomials on \( M_n(D) \) with coefficients in \( K \).

Before we give a precise definition of the kind of \( D \)-algebra \( A \) for which we will investigate \( \text{Int}^D(A) \), a few examples. \( D \) is always a domain with quotient field \( K \), and not a field.

1.1. Example. For fixed \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( A = M_n(D) \) be the \( D \)-algebra of \( n \times n \) matrices with entries in \( D \) and

\[
\text{Int}^D(M_n(D)) = \left\{ f \in K[x] \mid \forall C \in M_n(D) : f(C) \in M_n(D) \right\}.
\]

1.2. Example. Let \( H = \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}i + \mathbb{Q}j + \mathbb{Q}k \) be the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra of rational quaternions, \( L = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}i + \mathbb{Z}j + \mathbb{Z}k \) the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-subalgebra of Lipschitz quaternions, and

\[
\text{Int}^D(L) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \mid \forall z \in L : f(z) \in L \right\}.
\]

1.3. Example. Let \( G \) be a finite group, \( K(G) \) and \( D(G) \) the respective group rings, and

\[
\text{Int}^D(D(G)) = \left\{ f \in K[x] \mid \forall z \in D(G) : f(z) \in D(G) \right\}.
\]

If \( G \) is commutative, we also consider

\[
\text{Int}^D_n(D(G)) = \left\{ f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid \forall z \in D(G)^n : f(z) \in D(G) \right\},
\]

for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), where \( D(G)^n = D(G) \times \cdots \times D(G) \) denotes the Cartesian product of \( n \) copies of \( D(G) \).

1.4. Example. Let \( D \subseteq A \) be Dedekind rings with quotient fields \( K \subseteq F \), and

\[
\text{Int}^D(A) = \left\{ f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid f(A^n) \subseteq A \right\}.
\]
1.5. Notation and conventions. Throughout this paper, $D$ is a domain and not a field, $K$ the quotient field of $D$, and $A$ a torsion-free $D$-algebra finitely generated as a $D$-module. Since $A$ is faithful, there is an isomorphic copy of $D$ embedded in $A$ by $d \mapsto d1_A$, and we may assume $D \subseteq A$.

Now let $B = K \otimes_D A$. The natural homomorphisms $\iota_k : K \rightarrow K \otimes_D A$, $\iota_k(k) = k \otimes 1$ and $\iota_A : A \rightarrow K \otimes_D A$, $\iota_A(a) = 1 \otimes a$, are injective, since $A$ is a torsion-free $D$-module. We identify $K$ and $A$ with their isomorphic copies in $B$, which allows us to evaluate polynomials with coefficients in $K$ at arguments in $A$, and define

$$\text{Int}_D(A) = \{ f \in K[x] \mid \forall a \in A : f(a) \in A \}$$

and for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\text{Int}^n_D(A) = \{ f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid \forall a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A : f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A \}.$$  

To exclude pathological cases we require $K \cap A = D$.

1.6. Remark. Instead of $B = K \otimes_D A$, we could look at the canonically isomorphic $A_{K\setminus\{0\}}$, the ring of fractions of $A$ with denominators in $K \setminus \{0\}$. The natural homomorphisms $\iota_k : K \rightarrow A_{K\setminus\{0\}}$ and $\iota_A : A \rightarrow A_{K\setminus\{0\}}$ then take the form $\iota_k(\frac{a}{b}) = \frac{1}{b}\iota_A(a)$ and $\iota_A(a) = \frac{a}{1}$.

1.7. Convention regarding polynomials in several variables. For non-commutative $A$ and $n > 1$, $\text{Int}^n_D(A)$ is a priori not closed under multiplication and therefore in general not a ring. With the exception of the following section on $l$-adic continuity, we will only consider polynomial functions in several variables if the $D$-algebra $A$ is commutative.

From Section 3 onward, statements about $\text{Int}^n_D(A)$ with unspecified $n$ and $A$ are meant as follows: if $A$ is commutative, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if $A$ is non-commutative, assume $n \leq 1$.

1.8. Remark. Note that $K \cap A = D$ implies

$$\text{Int}_D(A) \subseteq \text{Int}(D) = \{ f \in K[x] \mid f(D) \subseteq D \}$$

and for commutative $A$, also

$$\text{Int}^n_D(A) \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n) = \{ f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid f(D^n) \subseteq D \},$$

and $\text{Int}^0_D(A) = K \cap A = D$.

2. Continuity

$I$-adic continuity of the function $f : D^n \rightarrow D$ arising from a classical integer-valued polynomial $f \in \text{Int}(D^n) = \{ f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid f(D^n) \subseteq D \}$ has been shown, for an arbitrary ideal $I$ of an arbitrary domain $D$, in Proposition 1.4 of [4]. (The proof there is for one variable, but clearly generalizable to several variables.)

To establish $I$-adic continuity of integer-valued polynomials on algebras, we will briefly look at polynomials in several non-commuting variables. If our algebra $A$ is non-commutative, this becomes necessary, even if we are only interested in integer-valued polynomials in one variable: if we consider $f(x + y) - f(y)$ as a polynomial in two variables, and we still want substitution of elements from $A$ for $x$ and $y$ to be a homomorphism, we must turn to non-commuting variables.
2.1. Definition. Let $D$ be a domain with quotient field $K$. Let $K \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ be the free associative $K$-algebra generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ (in other words, the semigroup-ring $K(S)$, where $S$ is the free semigroup generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$). If $A$ is a torsion-free $D$-algebra, we evaluate polynomials in $K \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ at arguments in $B = K \otimes_D A$ and thus associate a polynomial function $f : B^n \to B$ to every $f \in K \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$. Such polynomials as map arguments in $A^n$ to values in $A$ we call integer-valued on $A$.

From the theory of PID-rings (polynomial identity rings), it is easy to garner non-trivial examples of integer-valued polynomials in several non-commuting variables. For instance, if $p$ is prime and $n \geq 1$, then a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_{np})$, but not in $\mathbb{Z}(x_1, \ldots, x_{np})$, that takes every $np$-tuple of $n \times n$ integer matrices to an integer matrix is

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_{np}) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\pi \in S_{np}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} \cdots x_{\pi(np)}.$$

(This follows from [7] or [14].) In this paper, we will not consider polynomials in non-commuting variables except in the following theorem and its corollaries.

2.2. Theorem. Let $D$ be a domain with quotient field $K$ and $A$ a torsion-free $D$-algebra. For every $f \in K \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ integer-valued on $A$, the polynomial function $f : A^n \to A$ is uniformly $l$-adically continuous for every ideal $l$ of $D$.

Proof. Fix $i$ and let $d$ be the degree of $f$ in $x_i$. We will show that for every $b \in l^d A$ and every $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A^n$,

$$f(a_1, \ldots, a_i + b, \ldots, a_n) - f(a_1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, a_n) \in l A. \quad (*)$$

For $d = 0$, or if $f$ is the zero-polynomial, this is obvious. The polynomial $f$ is uniquely representable as $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $x_i$ doesn't occur in $f_1$, $x_i$ occurs in every monomial in the support of $f_2$, and $f_2$ has the same degree in $x_i$ as $f$. Since $f_1 = f(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ and $f_2 = f - f_1$, both $f_1$ and $f_2$ are integer-valued. We can show $(*)$ separately for $f_1$ and $f_2$. As $(*)$ holds for $f_1$ and arbitrary $b \in A$, we have reduced to the case $f = f_2$, i.e., when $x_i$ occurs in every monomial in the support of $f$.

Also, it suffices to show $(*)$ for $b = t_d l_{d-1} \cdots t_1 c$ with $t_k \in l$ and $c \in A$, because every element of $l^d A$ is a finite sum of elements of this form.

By considering

$$g(x_1, \ldots, x_n, z) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_i + z, \ldots, x_n) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n)$$

we can reduce our task to showing for every $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n, z) \in K \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, z \rangle$ of degree $d \geq 1$ in $z$, which maps $A^{n+1}$ to $A$, satisfies $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n, 0) = 0$, and such that $z$ occurs in every monomial in the support of $g$:

$$g(a_1, \ldots, a_n, t_d \cdots t_1 c) \in l A \quad \text{whenever } t_1, \ldots, t_d \in l \text{ and } c \in A. \quad (**)$$

We show $(**)$ by induction on $d$. Let $d \geq 1$. Consider

$$h(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y) = g(x_1, \ldots, x_n, t_d y) - t_d^d g(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y).$$

$h$ satisfies $h(x_1, \ldots, x_n, 0) = 0$, is of degree at most $d - 1$ in $y$, and $y$ occurs in every monomial in the support of $h$. Now $h(a_1, \ldots, a_n, t_d \cdots t_1 c) \in l A$ for all $t_1, \ldots, t_{d-1} \in l \text{ and } c \in A$, either by
induction hypothesis or because \( h \) is the zero-polynomial. Therefore \( g(a_1, \ldots, a_n, t_d t_{d-1} \ldots t_1 c) = h(a_1, \ldots, a_n, t_d \ldots t_1 c) + t_d^n g(a_1, \ldots, a_n, t_d-1 \ldots t_1 c) \) is in \( IA \), for all \( t_1, \ldots, t_d \in I \) and \( c \in A \). \( \square \)

Returning to commuting variables, we conclude:

2.3. Corollary. For any ideal \( I \) of \( D \), and every \( f \in \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) the function \( f : A^n \to A \) is uniformly \( I \)-adically continuous.

2.4. Corollary. If \( M \) is a maximal ideal of \( D \), \( \hat{A} \) the \( M \)-adic completion of \( A \), and \( f \in \text{Int}^n_D(A) \), then the function \( f : A^n \to A \) defined by \( f \) extends uniquely to an \( M \)-adically continuous function \( f : \hat{A}^n \to \hat{A} \).

3. A few technicalities

This section contains lemmata needed for the investigation of the spectrum of \( \text{Int}_D(A) \) and, for commutative \( A \), of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \). From now on, all statements about \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) are subject to the convention: if \( A \) is non-commutative, assume \( n \leq 1 \).

3.1. Lemma. Let \( D \) be a domain and \( P \) a finitely generated prime ideal of height 1. For every non-zero \( p \in P \) there exist \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( s \in D \setminus P \) such that \( sP^m \subseteq PD \).

Proof. Let \( p \in P \). In the localization \( D_P \), \( P_P \) is the radical of \( (q) \) for every non-zero \( q \in P \). Therefore, since \( P \) (and hence \( P_P \)) is finitely generated, there exists \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( P_P^m \subseteq PD_P \) and in particular \( P^m \subseteq PD \).

The ideal \( P^m \) is also finitely generated, by \( p_1, \ldots, p_k \), say. Let \( a_i \in D_P \) with \( p_i = pa_i \). By considering the fractions \( a_i = r_i/s_i \) (with \( r_i \in D \) and \( s_i \in D \setminus P \)), and setting \( s = s_1 \cdots s_k \), we see that \( sP^m \subseteq PD \) as desired. \( \square \)

3.2. Definition. If \( S \subseteq B^n \) and \( T \subseteq B \), let

\[
\text{Int}^n_D(S, T) = \left\{ f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid f(S) \subseteq T \right\}.
\]

3.3. Lemma. Let \( D \) be a domain and \( P \) a finitely generated prime ideal of height 1. Then every prime ideal \( Q \) of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) with \( Q \cap D = P \) contains \( \text{Int}^n_D(A, PA) \).

Proof. Let \( f \in \text{Int}^n_D(A, PA) \). By dint of Lemma 3.1, there are \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( p \in P \) and \( s \in D \setminus P \) such that \( sP^m \subseteq PD \). Then \( sP^m \subseteq PD \). Then \( sf^m \in \text{Int}^n_D(A, PA) = p\text{Int}^n_D(A) \subseteq Q \). As \( Q \) is prime and \( s \notin Q \), we conclude that \( f \in Q \). \( \square \)

3.4. Lemma. Let \( A \) be a \( D \)-algebra that is finitely generated as a \( D \)-module, \( M \) a maximal ideal of finite index in \( D \) and \( \hat{A} \) the \( M \)-adic completion of \( A \). For all \( a \in \hat{A}^n \), the ideal \( (M\hat{A})_a = \{ f \in \text{Int}^n_D(A) \mid f(a) \in M\hat{A} \} \) is of finite index in \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \).

Proof. Since \( A \) is a finitely generated \( D \)-module, \( \hat{A} \) is a finitely generated \( \hat{D} \)-module. As \( \hat{M} \) is of finite index in \( \hat{D} \), \( \hat{A}/\hat{M} \hat{A} \) is finite. Let \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(\hat{a}) \) denote the image of \( a \) under \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \). Then \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(\hat{a})/(\hat{M} \hat{A} \cap \text{Int}^n_D(A)(\hat{a})) \), as a subring of \( \hat{A}/\hat{M} \hat{A} \), is finite.

Let \( b_1, \ldots, b_m \) be a system of representatives of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(\hat{a}) \mod \hat{M} \hat{A} \cap \text{Int}^n_D(A)(\hat{a}) \), and for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) let \( f_i \in \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) with \( f_i(\hat{a}) = b_i \). Then for every \( f \in \text{Int}^n_D(A), \) exactly one of the differences \( f - f_i \) is in \( (M\hat{A})_a \), which means that \( \{ f_1, \ldots, f_m \} \) is a complete system of residues of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(\hat{a}) \mod (M\hat{A})_a \). We have shown \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) : (M\hat{A})_a = [\text{Int}^n_D(A)(\hat{a}) : M\hat{A} \cap \text{Int}^n_D(A)(\hat{a})] \leq [\hat{A} : \hat{M} \hat{A}] \). \( \square \)
4. Primes lying over a height one maximal ideal of finite index

In this section we determine the prime ideals of $\text{Int}_D(A)$ (and, for commutative $A$, of $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$) lying over a height one prime ideal of finite index of $D$. Prime ideals lying over a prime of infinite index in $D$ will be characterized in the next section.

4.1. General hypotheses in this section.

(i) $D$ is a domain and $A$ a torsion-free $D$-algebra, finitely generated as a $D$-module;
(ii) $M$ is a finitely generated maximal ideal of height 1 and finite index in $D$;
(iii) $MA_M \cap A = MA$. (Note that $MA_M \cap A = MA$ is satisfied in two important cases: if $A$ is a free $D$-module, and if $D \subseteq A$ is an extension of Dedekind rings.)

We denote the $M$-adic completions of $D$ and $A$ by $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{A}$. Since $M$ is finitely generated, $\hat{M}$-adic and $\hat{M}$-adic topologies coincide on $\hat{D}$ and on $\hat{A}$.

4.2. Lemma. The hypotheses of 4.1 imply:

(iv) $M \hat{A} \cap A = MA$;
(v) $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{A}$ are compact.

Proof. (iv) Whenever $M$ is a finitely generated maximal ideal of height 1 in a domain $D$ and $A$ a finitely generated $D$-module, the equality $MA \cap A = MA_M \cap A$ holds, by [1, Chapter III, §2.12, Proposition 16] combined with [1, §3.5, Corollary 1 of Proposition 9].

(v) The ring $\hat{D}$ is compact because $M$ is of finite index and finitely generated, which implies that all powers of $M$ are of finite index. $\hat{A}$ then is compact because it is finitely generated as a $\hat{D}$-module. □

4.3. Notation. Recall our convention that we only allow $n > 1$ in $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$ if $A$ is commutative; for non-commutative $A$, $n = 1$ is assumed.

The image of $a \in \hat{A}$ under $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$ and $\text{Int}_D(A)$ we denote as follows:

$$\text{Int}_D^n(A)(a) = \{ f(a) \mid f \in \text{Int}_D^n(A) \} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Int}_D(A)(a) = \{ f(a) \mid f \in \text{Int}_D(A) \}.$$ 

If $M$ is a maximal ideal of $D$ and $a \in \hat{A}^n$ let

$$(M\hat{A})_a = \{ f \in \text{Int}_D^n(A) \mid f(a) \in M\hat{A} \}.$$ 

If $P$ is an ideal of a commutative ring between $\text{Int}_D^n(a)$ and $\hat{A}$, let

$$P_a = \{ f \in \text{Int}_D^n(A) \mid f(a) \in P \}.$$ 

4.4. Lemma. Under the hypotheses of 4.1, let $Q$ be a prime ideal of $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$ lying over $M$. Then there exists $a \in \hat{A}$ such that

$$(M\hat{A})_a \subseteq Q.$$ 

In particular, $Q$ is of finite index.

Proof. Suppose $Q$ does not contain any $(M\hat{A})_a$. Then for every $a \in \hat{A}^n$ there exists $f \in (M\hat{A})_a \setminus Q$. By Theorem 2.3, $f$ is $M$-adically continuous, so there exists an $M$-adic neighborhood $U$ of $a$, such that
Since \( \hat{A} \) is a finite ring, \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)/(\text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{A}) \) is a finite commutative ring. Let \( P_1, \ldots, P_k \) be the maximal ideals of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \) containing \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{A} \).

Suppose \( Q \) is not contained in any \( (P_i)_a \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). Then, by prime avoidance, \( Q(a) = \{ f(a) \mid f \in Q \} \) is not contained in \( \bigcup_{i=1}^k P_i \). Let \( f \in Q \) be such that \( f(a) \) is not in any \( P_i \). Then the residue class of \( f(a) \) is a unit in \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a)/(\text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{A}) \).

Replacing \( f \) by a suitable power of \( f \) (using the fact that the group of units of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a)/(\text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{A}) \) is finite) we see that there exists \( f \in Q \) with \( f(a) \equiv 1 \mod \hat{A} \). It follows that \( 1 - f \in (\hat{A})_a \subseteq Q \) and therefore \( 1 \in Q \), a contradiction.

**4.5. Lemma.** Under the hypotheses of 4.1, let \( Q \) be a prime ideal of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) lying over \( M \). For every \( a \in \hat{A} \) such that \( (\hat{A})_a \subseteq Q \), there exists a maximal ideal \( P \) of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \) such that \( Q = P_a \).

**Proof.** Since \( \hat{A}/\hat{M} \) is a finite ring, \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a)/(\text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{A}) \) is a finite commutative ring. Let \( P_1, \ldots, P_k \) be the maximal ideals of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \) containing \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{A} \).

Suppose \( Q \) is not contained in any \( (P_i)_a \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). Then, by prime avoidance, \( Q(a) = \{ f(a) \mid f \in Q \} \) is not contained in \( \bigcup_{i=1}^k P_i \). Let \( f \in Q \) be such that \( f(a) \) is not in any \( P_i \). Then the residue class of \( f(a) \) is a unit in \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a)/(\text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{A}) \).

Replacing \( f \) by a suitable power of \( f \) (using the fact that the group of units of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a)/(\text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{A}) \) is finite) we see that there exists \( f \in Q \) with \( f(a) \equiv 1 \mod \hat{A} \). It follows that \( 1 - f \in (\hat{A})_a \subseteq Q \) and therefore \( 1 \in Q \), a contradiction.

**4.6. Theorem.** Let \( D \) be a domain, \( A \) a torsion-free \( D \)-algebra finitely generated as a \( D \)-module, \( M \) a finitely generated maximal ideal of \( D \) of finite index and height one, such that \( \hat{M} \cap A = M \).

The prime ideals of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) lying over \( M \) are precisely the ideals of the form

\[
P_a = \{ f \in \text{Int}^n_D(A) \mid f(a) \in P \},
\]

where \( a \in \hat{A} \) (the \( M \)-adic completion of \( A \)), and \( P \) is a maximal ideal of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \) (the image of \( a \) under \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \)) with \( P \cap D = M \). In particular, all primes of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) lying over \( M \) are of finite index.

**Proof.** There exist primes of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) lying over \( M \), because \( (\hat{A})_a \) with \( a \in \hat{A} \) is a proper ideal of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) containing \( M \).

If \( Q \) is a prime ideal of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \) lying over \( M \), then Lemma 4.4 shows that there exists an element \( a \in \hat{A} \) such that \( (\hat{A})_a \) is contained in \( Q \), and that \( Q \) is of finite index. It then follows from Lemma 4.5 that \( Q = P_a \) for some maximal ideal \( P \) of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \) satisfying \( M \subseteq \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{M} \subseteq P \), and hence \( P \cap D = M \).

Conversely, if \( P \) is a maximal ideal of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \), then \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)/P_a \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a)/P \). Therefore \( P_a \) is a maximal ideal of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \).

It may happen that we do not know the exact image of \( a \in \hat{A} \) under \( \text{Int}^n_D(A) \), but do know a commutative ring \( R_a \) between \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \) and \( \hat{A} \). In this case we should remember that \( R_a/(R_a \cap \hat{M}) \) is a subring of the finite ring \( (\hat{A}/\hat{M})_a \), and that therefore \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a)/(\text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \cap \hat{M}) \subseteq (R_a/R_a \cap \hat{M}) \) is an extension of finite commutative rings. Since extensions of finite commutative rings satisfy “lying over”, every prime ideal of \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \) comes from a prime ideal of \( R_a \), and we conclude:

**4.7. Corollary.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.6, suppose we have, for every \( a \in \hat{A} \), a commutative ring \( R_a \) with \( \text{Int}^n_D(A)(a) \subseteq R_a \subseteq \hat{A} \).
Then the prime ideals of $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$ are precisely the ideals of the form

$$P_a = \left\{ f \in \text{Int}_D^n(A) \mid f(a) \in P \right\},$$

where $a \in \hat{A}$ and $P$ is a maximal ideal of $R_a$ lying over $M$.

If $A$ is a commutative $D$-algebra, we can take $R_a = \hat{A}$ in Corollary 4.7 for all $a \in \hat{A}$, and we get the following simpler characterization of the primes of $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$ lying over $M$:

**4.8. Theorem.** Let $D$ be a domain, $A$ a commutative torsion-free $D$-algebra finitely generated as a $D$-module, $M$ a finitely generated maximal ideal of $D$ of finite index and height one, such that $MA_M \cap A = MA$.

Then every prime ideal of $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$ lying over $M$ is of the form

$$P_a = \left\{ f \in \text{Int}_D^n(A) \mid f(a) \in P \right\},$$

for some $a \in \hat{A}$ (the $M$-adic completion of $A$) and $P$ a maximal ideal of $\hat{A}$ lying over $M$. In particular, every prime of $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$ lying over $M$ is of finite index.

5. Primes lying over prime ideals of infinite index

**5.1. Lemma.** Let $A$ be a torsion-free $D$-algebra with $K \cap A = D$. Let $P$ be a prime ideal of infinite index in $D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{Int}_D^n(A) \subseteq D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

**Proof.** More generally, we show that for any prime ideal $P$, a polynomial $f \in \text{Int}_D^n(A)$ of degree less than $[D : P]$ in every individual variable is in $D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. We use induction on $n$. The case $n = 0$ is trivial: $\text{Int}_D^0(D) = K \cap A = D \subseteq D_P$.

For $n > 0$ consider $f$ as a polynomial in $x_0$ with coefficients in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$. Let $s \leq [D : P]$ such that $f$ is of degree strictly less than $s$ in each $x_i$.

Choose $d_1, \ldots, d_s \in D \subseteq A$ pairwise incongruent mod $P$. For every $i$, the value of $f$ at $d_i$ (substituted for $x_i$) is a polynomial in $\text{Int}_D^{n-1}(A)$ of degree less than $s$ in each variable. Therefore $f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, d_i) \in D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$, by induction hypothesis.

Let $g \in K(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})[x_n]$ be the Lagrange interpolation polynomial with $g(d_i) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, d_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$); then $g \in D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$. Since a polynomial (with coefficients in a domain) of degree less than $s$ is determined by its values at $s$ different arguments, we must have $f = g \in D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$. \hfill $\square$

**5.2. Corollary.** Let $A$ be a torsion-free $D$-algebra with $K \cap A = D$. If all maximal ideals of $D$ are of infinite index, then $\text{Int}_D^n(A) = D[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Alternatively, we could have deduced the previous lemma from the corresponding fact for the ring of integer-valued polynomials over $D$ [3, Proposition 1.3.4, XI.1.10], since after all $\text{Int}_D^n(A) \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n) = \{ f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid f(D^n) \subseteq D \}$.

**5.3. Lemma.** Let $A$ be a torsion-free $D$-algebra with $K \cap A = D$. Let $P$ be a prime ideal of infinite index in $D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the prime ideals of $\text{Int}_D^n(A)$ lying over $P$ are precisely those of the form $Q \cap \text{Int}_D^n(A)$, where $Q$ is a prime ideal of $D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ containing $PD_P[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

**Proof.** As $D[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \subseteq \text{Int}_D^n(A) \subseteq D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = D[x_1, \ldots, x_n](D_P)$, we have

$$D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = \text{Int}_D^n(A)(D_P)$$
and therefore a bijective correspondence (given by lying over) exists between prime ideals of $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ whose intersection with $D$ is contained in $P$ and prime ideals of $D_P[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. □

5.4. Theorem. Let $D$ be a Noetherian one-dimensional domain with finite residue fields and $A$ a torsion-free $D$ algebra, finitely generated as a $D$-module, such that for every maximal ideal $M$, $MA_M \cap D = M$. If $A$ is commutative, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for non-commutative $A$ restrict to $n = 1$. Then $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ is $(n+1)$-dimensional.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, the prime ideals of $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ lying over $(0)$ all come from prime ideals of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. The primes of $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ lying over a maximal ideal $M$ are all maximal and hence mutually incomparable. So $\dim(\text{Int}^0_d(A)) \leq n + 1$. If $M$ is a maximal ideal of $D$ and $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in D^n$, let $Q_k$ be the ideal of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by $(x_1 - d_1), \ldots, (x_k - d_k)$. Then a chain of primes of length $n + 1$ of $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ is given by $Q_0 = (0), Q_k = Q_k \cap \text{Int}^0_d(A)$, for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ and $Q_{n+1} = P_d = \{ f \in \text{Int}^0_d(A) \mid f(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in P \}$, where $P$ is a maximal ideal of the image of $d$ under $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$. □

5.5. Remark. If $D$ is a Noetherian domain of characteristic 0, finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra, such as, for instance, the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ in a number field, then no maximal ideal of $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ lies over $(0)$ of $D$. This holds for $A = D$ by [3, Proposition XI.3.4.], and carries over to $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$, since $\text{Int}^0_d(A) \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n)$. Every prime ideal $P$ of $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ coming from a prime ideal of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is contained in a maximal ideal $Q$ of $\text{Int}(D^n)$ lying over a maximal ideal $M$ of $D$, and $Q \cap \text{Int}^0_d(A)$ then properly contains $P$.

5.6. Remark. Even if there are no maximal ideals lying over $(0)$, maximal chains of primes of $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ are not necessarily of length $n + 1$. For instance, a maximal ideal of $\text{Int}^0_d(A)$ may well have height 1 if it is of the form $(\mathcal{A}^\mathcal{A})_a$ for $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ with $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ algebraically independent over $K$.

6. Integer-valued polynomials on matrix algebras

Theorem 4.6 characterizes the spectrum of the ring $\text{Int}_D(A)$, provided we know the images of elements of $M$-adic compleions of $A$ under $\text{Int}_D(A)$. We will now determine these images in the case $A = O_K$. Note that all the technical hypotheses in this section are certainly satisfied if $D = O_K$ is the ring of integers in a number field.

6.1. Fact. (See [10, Lemma 2.2].) Let $D$ be a domain and $f(x) = g(x)/d$ with $g \in D[x], d \in D \setminus \{0\}$. Then $f \in \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$ if and only if $g$ is divisible modulo $dD[x]$ by all monic polynomials in $D[x]$ of degree $n$.

6.2. Proposition. Let $D$ be a domain with zero Jacobson radical and $f(x) = g(x)/d$ with $g \in D[x], d \in D \setminus \{0\}$. Then $f \in \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$ if and only if $g$ is divisible modulo $dD[x]$ by all monic irreducible polynomials in $D[x]$ of degree $n$.

Proof. In view of Fact 6.1, it suffices to show for every $d \in D \setminus \{0\}$ and $h \in D[x]$ monic of degree $n$, that there exists $k \in D[x]$ monic of degree $n$, irreducible in $D[x]$ and congruent to $h \bmod dD[x]$. We may choose a maximal ideal $P$ with $d \not\in P$, and use Chinese remainder theorem on the coefficients of $h$ to find $k \in D[x]$, monic of degree $n$, congruent to $h \bmod dD[x]$ and irreducible in $(D/P)[x]$. □

We are now able to identify a polynomially dense subset of $M_n(D)$ consisting of companion matrices. They are often easier to work with than general matrices, because their characteristic polynomial is also their minimal polynomial.

6.3. Theorem. Let $C_n$ be the set of companion matrices of monic polynomials of degree $n$ in $D[x]$ and $I_n \subseteq C_n$ the subset of companion matrices of irreducible polynomials. If $D$ is any domain,

$$\text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) = \text{Int}_D(C_n, M_n(D)).$$
If $D$ is a domain with zero Jacobson radical, such as, for instance, a Dedekind domain with infinitely many maximal ideals, then

$$\text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) = \text{Int}_D(\mathcal{I}_n, M_n(D)).$$

**Proof.** Let $f \in \text{Int}_D(C_n, M_n(D))$, $f(x) = g(x)/d$ with $g \in D[x]$, $d \in D$. Since $g$ maps every $C \subseteq C_n$ to $M_n(dD)$, $g$ is divisible mod $dD[x]$ by every monic polynomial in $D[x]$ of degree $n$. (This is so because $f$ is still the minimal polynomial of its companion matrix when everything is viewed in $D/dD$.) By Fact 6.1, $f \in \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$. This shows $\text{Int}_D(C_n, M_n(D)) \subseteq \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$. The reverse inclusion is trivial. The argument for $\mathcal{I}_n$ is similar, using Proposition 6.2. □

6.4. **Theorem.** Let $D$ be a domain and $C \subseteq M_n(D)$. Let

$$\text{Int}(A)(C) = \{ f(C) \mid f \in \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \} \quad \text{and} \quad D[C] = \{ f(C) \mid f \in D[x] \}.$$ 

Then $\text{Int}(A)(C) = D[C]$.

**Proof.** Consider $f \in \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$: $f(x) = g(x)/d$ with $g \in D[x]$ and $d \in D \setminus \{0\}$. We know that $g$ is divisible modulo $dD[x]$ by every monic polynomial in $D[x]$ of degree $n$. Dividing $g$ by $\chi_C$, the characteristic polynomial of $C$, we get

$$g(x) = q(x)\chi_C(x) + dr(x)$$

with $q, r \in D[x]$ and we see that $f(C) = r(C)$. Thus $\text{Int}(A)(C) \subseteq D[C]$. The reverse inclusion is clear, since $D[x] \subseteq \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$. □

6.5. **Definition.** A local domain is called analytically irreducible if its completion is also a domain.

6.6. **Lemma.** Let $M$ be a maximal ideal of finite index in a domain $D$. Then the following are equivalent

1. $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} M^n = (0)$ and $D_M$ is analytically irreducible;
2. for every non-zero $d \in D$, cancellation of $d$ is uniformly $M$-adically continuous.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) We have to show: for every non-zero $d \in D$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $c \in D$: $dc \in M^k$ implies $c \in M^m$. Indirectly, suppose there exist $d \in D \setminus \{0\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every $c \in D$ there is some $c_k \in D$ with $dc_k \in M^k$ and $c_k \not\in M^m$. Since $\hat{D}$ is compact and satisfies first countability axiom, $(c_k)$ has a convergent subsequence. Its limit $c \in D$ satisfies $c \not\in M^m$, and hence $c \neq 0$, and also for all $k$, $dc \in M^k$, which implies $dc = 0$. We have shown the existence of zero-divisors in $D$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is easy. □

6.7. **Theorem.** Let $D$ be a domain, $M$ a maximal ideal of finite index of $D$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} M^n = (0)$ and $D_M$ is analytically irreducible. Let $\hat{D}$ be the $M$-adic completion of $D$, $C \subseteq M_n(\hat{D})$, and $\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))(C)$ the image of $C$ under $\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$. Then

$$\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))(C) \subseteq \hat{D}[C].$$

**Proof.** Let $f \in \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$, $f(x) = g(x)/d$ with $g \in D[x], d \in D$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $k_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $c \in D$, $cd \in M^{k_m}$ implies $c \in M^m$. Let $E_1 = (e_{ij}^{(1)})$ and $E_2 = (e_{ij}^{(2)})$ be matrices in $M_n(D)$ with characteristic polynomials $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$. Then $g(x) = q_i(x)\chi_i(x) + dr_i(x)$ with $q_i, r_i \in D[x]$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $e_{ij}^{(1)} \equiv e_{ij}^{(2)} \mod M^{k_m}$, then $dr_1 \equiv dr_2 \mod M^{k_m}D[x]$, and therefore $r_1 \equiv r_2 \mod M^mD[x]$. We can
therefore \( M \)-adically approximate \( C \) by matrices \( C_i \) with \( f(C_i) = s_i(C_i) \) with \( s_i \in D[x] \), \( \text{deg} s_i < n \), such that the \( s_i \) converge towards a polynomial \( s \in \hat{D}[x] \) with \( \text{deg} s < n \) and \( f(C) = s(C) \). \( \square \)

6.8. Corollary. Let \( D \) be a Dedekind domain, \( M \) a maximal ideal of finite index, \( \hat{D} \) the \( M \)-adic completion of \( D \), and \( C \in M_n(\hat{D}) \). Then

\[
\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))(C) \subseteq \hat{D}[C].
\]

7. Integer-valued polynomials with matrix coefficients

While we have been investigating the ring \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \) of polynomials in \( K[x] \) mapping matrices in \( M_n(D) \) to matrices in \( M_n(D) \), Werner [13] has been studying the set, let’s call it \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \) with square brackets, of polynomials with coefficients in the non-commutative ring \( M_n(K) \) mapping matrices in \( M_n(D) \) to matrices in \( M_n(D) \). Without substitution homomorphism, it is not a priori clear that this set is closed under multiplication, but Werner [13] has shown that it is, and so \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \) is actually a ring between \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \) and \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(K)) \).

Also in [13], Werner proves that every ideal of \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \) can be generated by elements of \( K[x] \). Using the idea of his proof, one can show more: \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \) is isomorphic to the algebra of \( n \times n \) matrices over \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \). Since every prime ideal of a matrix ring is just the set of matrices with entries in a prime ideal of the ring, we get a description of the spectrum of \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \) as a byproduct of our description of the spectrum of \( \text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) \) in the previous section. We recall the definition of prime ideal for non-commutative rings:

7.1. Definition. We call a two-sided ideal \( P \neq R \) of a (not necessarily commutative) ring with identity \( R \) a prime ideal, if, for all ideals \( A, B \) of \( R \),

\[
AB \subseteq P \Rightarrow A \subseteq P \text{ or } B \subseteq P,
\]

or equivalently, if, for all \( a, b \in R \)

\[
aRb \subseteq P \Rightarrow a \in P \text{ or } b \in P.
\]

For commutative \( R \) this is equivalent to the (in general stronger) condition: for all \( a, b \in R \),

\[
ab \in P \Rightarrow a \in P \text{ or } b \in P.
\]

7.2. Theorem. Let \( D \) be a domain with quotient field \( K \), and

\[
\text{Int}_D(M_n(D)) = \left\{ f \in K[x] \mid \forall C \in M_n(D) : f(C) \in M_n(D) \right\},
\]

\[
\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)] = \left\{ f \in (M_n(K))[x] \mid \forall C \in M_n(D) : f(C) \in M_n(D) \right\}.
\]

We identify \( \text{Int}_D[M_n(D)] \subseteq (M_n(K))[x] \) with its isomorphic image in \( M_n(K)[x] \) under

\[
\varphi : (M_n(K))[x] \to M_n(K[x]), \quad \sum_k (a_{ij}^{(k)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \chi^k \mapsto \left( \sum_k a_{ij}^{(k)} \chi^k \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.
\]

Then \( \text{Int}_D[M_n(D)] = M_n(\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))) \).
Proof. Note that $K[x]$ is embedded in $M_n(K[x])$ as the subring of scalar matrices $g(x)I_n$, and in $M_n(K)[x]$ as the subring of polynomials $g(x)$ whose coefficients are scalar matrices $rI_n$, with $r \in K$. Clearly, $\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)] \cap K[x] = \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$.

Let $C = (c_{ij}(x)) \in \text{Int}_D[M_n(D)] \subseteq M_n(K[x])$. Let $e_{ij}$ be the matrix in $M_n(D)$ with 1 in position $(i, j)$ and zeros elsewhere; then $e_{ij}C_{kl}$ has $c_{jk}(x)$ in position $(i, l)$ and zeros elsewhere. Also, $e_{ij}C_{kl} \in \text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$, since $\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$ is a ring containing $M_n(D)$. So $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{ij}C_{kl} = c_{jk}(x)I_n \in \text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$. Therefore $c_{jk}(x) \in K[x] \cap \text{Int}_D[M_n(D)] = \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$ for all $(j, k)$, and hence $\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)] \subseteq M_n(\text{Int}_D(M_n(D)))$.

Conversely, if $f \in \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$ then $f(x)I_n \in \text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$. Therefore, $e_{ik}f(x)I_n e_{kl}$, the matrix containing $f(x)$ in position $(i, l)$ and zeros elsewhere, is in $\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$, for arbitrary $(i, l)$. By summing matrices of this kind we see that $M_n(\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))) \subseteq \text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$. \hfill \Box

For any ring $R$ with identity the ideals of $R$ are in bijective correspondence with the ideals of $M_n(R)$ by $I \mapsto M_n(I)$, and restriction to prime ideals gives a bijection between the spectrum of $R$ and the spectrum of $M_n(R)$. So we conclude:

7.3. Corollary. Let $\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$ and $\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$ be as in the preceding theorem. Under the identification of $\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$ with its isomorphic image in $M_n(K[x])$,

1. The two-sided ideals of $\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$ are precisely the sets of the form $M_n(I)$, where $I$ is an ideal of $\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$.
2. The two-sided prime ideals of $\text{Int}_D[M_n(D)]$ are precisely the sets of the form $M_n(P)$, where $P$ is a prime ideal of $\text{Int}_D(M_n(D))$.
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